



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 097234,532 01/21/99	SAPSEFIRST NAMED INVENTOR		Α	АТТФЯМЕЎ ФОСКЕТ ИО.	
DONALD O NICKEY STANDLEY & GILCREST	HM32/1113	)	OWENS EXAMINER		
SUITE 210 495 METRO PLACE DUBLIN OH 43017-5315	· ·		ARŢ ŁIŊŢ	11/13/00 PAPER NUMBER	
	,		DATE MAILE	D:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks





**Advisory Action** 

Application No. 09/234,532

Applicant(s)

Sapse

Examiner

**Howard Owens** 

Group Art Unit 1623



THE	PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]			
	a) [	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
		expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
	date or determ calcula	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The n which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
		lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Ap <sub>l</sub> but	plicant is NC	t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 25, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
		roposed amendment(s):			
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.				
	□ w	ill not be entered because:			
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).				
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).				
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
	NC	TE:			
	□ A	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	_				
_	_	would be allowable if submitted in a			
	New	ly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.			
X	The	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition			
	Tor a	llowance because: attached response to arguments/declaration.			
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
X	For p	ourposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):			
		ns allowed:			
	Clair	ns objected to:			
		ns rejected: <u>1-3, 5-7, 10, 11, 13-15, and 17-19</u>			
		proposed drawing correction filed on has been approved by the Examiner.			
	Note	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)			
X	Othe	The IDS submitted 10/3/00 is a duplicate of the IDS signed			
		by the examiner and sent to applicant on 6/30/00.			
		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			